Constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with boundary in two parallel planes

Patricia Klaser, Rodrigo Soares, Miriam Telichevesky

December 25, 2015

arXiv:1512.07637v1 [math.DG] 23 Dec 2015

Abstract

Given $H \in [0, \infty)$, some sufficient conditions for existence of CMC H graphs with boundary in two parallel planes of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are presented. Height estimates for outwards-oriented CMC surfaces (horo)cyllindrically bounded are also exhibited.

1 Introduction

We study the existence and non existence of constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces with boundary in two parallel planes in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{H}^2$ is the hyperbolic plane of curvature -1. This issue was first treated in the Euclidean $3-$space, beginning with the classification of minimal annuli with boundary two circles in parallel planes by B. Riemann in 1898 [14], which was concluded M. Shiffman [21] in 1956. In [16] A. Ros and H. Rosenberg proposed the problem of finding a CMC annulus having as boundary two given convex Jordan curves in distinct parallel planes of $\mathbb{R}^3$. In this setting, J. Ripoll and N. Espirito Santo [7] considered the case of the boundary curves α and β, in two parallel planes, are such that the orthogonal projection of α in the plane that contains β is inside the region bounded by β. With hypothesis that relate the mean curvature H, the distance h between the parallel planes and the curvatures of α and β, an existence (Theorem 2.2) and a non existence (Proposition 3.2) result for a CMC surface with boundary $\beta \cup \alpha$ are proved. This problem was also studied by A. Aiolfi, P. Fusieger and J. Ripoll in [1], [2] and [8], where the authors considered radial graphs and demonstrated existence results.

If one replaces the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^3$ by a product space $M \times \mathbb{R}$, the problem described above still makes sense. One asks whether there are CMC surfaces with boundary two convex curves in $M \times \{0\}$ and $M \times \{h\}$. L. Hauswirth [10] studied generalized Riemann minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, by adapting the work of M. Shiffman [21] and A. Barbosa [3] established a version of results for minimal surfaces of [7] in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by using Perron's Method. Other related results in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ can be found in [13], [11], [18], [4] and [6].

In this paper we treat the CMC case in the ambient space $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. We prove existence and non existence results for CMC surfaces with boundary consisting in two Jordan curves $\beta \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ and $\alpha \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{h\}$ and such that the orthogonal projection of α in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$, which we also denote by α, is inside the region bounded by β. We orient the CMC surface so that the normal vector N points downwards, in the sense that it points to the negative part of the real axis,and we assume $H \geq 0$. Existence results for $h \geq 0$, which corresponds to α above β, and $h < 0$ are then presented. It can also be observed that for $H > 1/2$ the existence hypothesis are more restrictive, since the behaviour of some natural CMC barriers depends on which of the intervals $[0, 1/2]$ or

$(1/2, +\infty)$ the mean curvature H belongs to. As far as the authors know, the case $H > 1/2$ has not been treated in the literature yet.

In our setting, we look for a function $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ that has as graph a CMC H surface with boundary $\alpha \cup \beta$. This occurs if and only if u is solution of the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Q_H(u) = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) + 2H = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ u|_\alpha = h \\ u|_\beta = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ is the annular domain enclosed by $\alpha \cup \beta$ in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ and div and ∇ are the divergence and the gradient in $\mathbb{H}^2$.

The proofs of the main results are based on construction of geometric barriers, that are CMC surfaces, which we name H−nodoid, catenoid and H−spherical cap. They are produced rotating graphs of certain functions, which are detailed in Section 2. However, the statements of the main theorems require the knowledge of the H−nodoid of inner radius r. Its name is inspired by its behavior, which reminds the one of the nodoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and it is generated by the function

$$\text{H-nod}_r(s) = \int_0^s \frac{2H(\cosh(r) - \cosh(r+t)) + \sinh(r)}{\sqrt{\sinh^2(r+t) - (2H(\cosh(r) - \cosh(r+t)) + \sinh(r))^2}} dt, \tag{2}$$

defined in $[0, T_H]$, where

$$T_H := \begin{cases} +\infty, \text{ if } H \leq 1/2, \\ \ln\left(\frac{2H+1}{2H-1}\right), \text{ if } H > 1/2. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The fact that T_H does not depend on r is remarkable: For $H > 1/2$, the distance between two vertical slope points of H-nod does not depend on the size of its neck. If, in the limit, the neck is taken with radius 0, then H-nod$_0$ = H-cap corresponds to the H−spherical cap and $2T_H$ is the distance between two vertical tangents of the cap. It is possible to obtain an expression using elementary functions for H-cap, and that is the reason why it does not appear explicitly on the hypothesis of the results. We also include the so-called catenoid as a particular case of nodoid defining $\text{cat}_r := \text{0-nod}_\text{r}$.

Let us fix some notation to state the main results. Let α and β be two simple closed curves in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ such that α is contained in the interior of the region bounded by β. Suppose that α satisfies the interior circle condition of radius r and that β satisfies the exterior circle condition of radius R (See Section 3 for a precise definition). Denote by Ω the domain bounded by $\alpha \cup \beta$ and by d the distance between α and β.

Theorem 1.1 *Given $H \geq 0$, consider Problem (1) associated to H. If*

$$\text{diam}\beta \leq d + 2\cosh^{-1}\left(\cosh(r) + \frac{\sinh(r)}{2H}\right), \tag{4}$$

$$0 \leq h \leq \max\left\{\text{cat}_r(d), \frac{2Hd}{\sqrt{\coth^2(r) - 4H^2}}\right\}, \tag{5}$$



which means $h < \infty$ *if* $\coth^2(r) - 4H^2 \leq 0$; *and additionally if* $H > 1/2$, *it holds that*

$$R \leq T_H \text{ and } 0 \leq h \leq \frac{4H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-4H^2\tanh^2\left(\frac{T_H-d}{2}\right)}{4H^2-1}}\right), \tag{6}$$

then Problem (1) *has a unique solution* $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

Given two Jordan curves α and β in parallel planes of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, there are two natural ways of searching CMC H surfaces with boundary $\alpha \cup \beta$. They consist in considering the two possible orientations of the surface. In the case of graphs as treated here, one corresponds to $h > 0$ and the other to $h < 0$. For $h < 0$, the mean curvature vector of the graph of the solution of (1) points "outwards", in the sense that it points to the unbounded connected component of $(\mathbb{H}^2 \times [h, \max u]) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}(u)$. In the context of orientation outwards we have an existence and non-existence results, as below.

Theorem 1.2 *Let* $H \geq 0$, *if* $\mathrm{diam}\beta - (2r+d) \leq T_H$, $R \leq T_H$, *and*

$$0 \leq -h \leq \min\{H\text{-nod}_r(d), H\text{-nod}_r(\mathrm{diam}\beta - (2r+d))\} \tag{7}$$

then Problem (1) *has a unique solution* $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

The non-existence results are stated below. They assure that if the distance between the two parallel planes is large, then there is not a CMC surface with boundary in them and mean curvature vector pointing outwards. We point out that these results apply also to CMC surfaces that are not graphs and the first one holds even for unbounded surfaces. Both statement and proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are adapted from the situation on $\mathbb{R}^3$ presented in [7]. We emphasize that the estimates are sharp in the sense that the H-horosurfaces and the H−nodoids (see Section 2) provide examples.

Theorem 1.3 *Let* $M \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *be an immersed connected* $H-$*surface contained in an horocylinder* $B \times \mathbb{R}$, *where* B *is an horodisc. Suppose that* M *intersects two parallel slices* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ *and* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{h\}$, $\partial M \cap (\mathbb{H}^2 \times (0,h)) = \emptyset$ *and that the mean curvature vector of* M *points to* $\partial B \times \mathbb{R}$.

Then $h \leq h_H$, where h_H is given by

$$h_H := \begin{cases} \pi - \frac{8H}{\sqrt{1-4H^2}} \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2H}{\sqrt{1-4H^2}}\right), & \text{if } H < 1/2, \\ \pi - 2, & \text{if } H = 1/2, \\ \pi - \frac{8H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2H-1}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}}\right), & \text{if } H > 1/2. \end{cases}$$

If $M \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is an immersed connected $H-$surface contained in an ordinary cylinder $B_{r^*} \times \mathbb{R}$, the conclusion is actually that $h \leq 2 \max \text{H-nod}_{r^*} \leq h_H$.

Theorem 1.4 *Let $M \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ be an immersed connected $H-$surface contained in a cylinder $B_{r^*} \times \mathbb{R}$, where B_{r^*} is a disk of radius r^*. Suppose that M intersects two parallel slices $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{h\}$, $\partial M \cap (\mathbb{H}^2 \times (0,h)) = \emptyset$ and that the mean curvature vector of M points to $\partial B_{r^*} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$h \leq 2 \max H\text{-nod}_{r^*}.$$

Although we do not have an explicit expression for $\max \text{H-nod}_r$, the behavior of the family H-nod$_r$ with r implies that it is at most $h_H/2$, which makes Theorem 1.4 a particular case of Theorem 1.3. However, since $\max \text{H-nod}_r$ converges monotonically to 0 as r goes 0, Theorem 1.4 is a stronger result if M is compact.

2 Rotational surfaces

The proofs of our main results require some barriers in order to see that the Perron solutions are continuous up to the boundary. In most cases, we take as barriers rotational surfaces of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, studied first in [19] and also in [13] and [17].

Consider $a \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and denote by $C_a(r)$ (respectively $B_a(r)$) the geodesic circle (respectively disk) centered at a with radius r. Denote by $s : \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus B_a(r) \to [0, +\infty)$ the distance function to $C_a(r)$, defined outside $B_r(a)$. If $u : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a real function, the rotational surface generated by u around the axis $\{a\} \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined as the set $\{(p, u(s(p)) \,|\, p \in \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus B_r(a)\}$. Observe that the Laplacian of s is given by $\Delta s = \coth(s+r)$, so in order that the rotational surface to be an $H-$surface in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, u must satisfy

$$\left(\frac{u'(s)}{\sqrt{1+u'^2(s)}}\right)' + \left(\frac{u'(s)}{\sqrt{1+u'^2(s)}}\right) \coth(s+r) + 2H = 0. \tag{8}$$

Integrating this ODE with initial condition $u'(0) = +\infty$ we obtain the general expression corresponding to fixed r and H, which we call H$-$nodoid of CMC H and inner radius r :

$$\text{H-nod}_r(s) = \int_0^s \frac{2H(\cosh(r) - \cosh(t+r)) + \sinh(r)}{\sqrt{\sinh^2(t+r) - (2H(\cosh(r) - \cosh(t+r)) + \sinh(r))^2}} dt,$$

defined in $[0, T_H]$, where T_H is defined in (3).

The H$-$nodoids form a 2$-$parameter continuous family of CMC surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. The parameter $H \in [0, +\infty)$ gives the mean curvature of the surface and the parameter $r \in [0, +\infty]$ gives the radius of their necks ($r = 0$ means no neck and $r = +\infty$ means that the neck is a horocycle). Since some particular cases in this family are important to our constructions, we begin by describing them.



The caps for $H \geq 1/2$

For $H \geq 1/2$, taking the limit $r \to 0^+$ of H-nod$_r$ we get the H−spherical cap. Expression (2) is then integrable.

If $H > 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{H-cap}(s) &= 2H \int_0^s \frac{(1-\cosh(t))}{\sqrt{\sinh^2(t) - 4H^2(1-\cosh(t))^2}} dt \\ &= \frac{4H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \left[\arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-4H^2\tanh^2(\frac{s}{2})}{4H^2-1}} \right) \right] \Bigg|_0^s \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We observe that

$$\max|\text{H-cap}| = -\text{H-cap}(T_H) = \frac{4H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4H^2-1}} \right).$$

For $H = 1/2$,

$$(1/2)\text{-cap}(s) = 2 - 2\cosh(s/2) \tag{10}$$

and $\lim_{s\to\infty} (1/2)\text{-cap}(s) = -\infty$, therefore, we may keep in mind that (1/2)-cap has infinite height.

The catenoids cat_r

The catenoid is the minimal H-nod$_r$, that is, it is the 0-nod$_r$. It is the only H-nod given by an strictly increasing function, which is asymptotic to the horizontal plane $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{\text{cat}_r(\infty)\}$.

$$\text{cat}_r(s) = \int_0^s \frac{\sinh(r)}{\sqrt{\sinh^2(t+r) - \sinh^2(r)}} dt,\ s \in (r, +\infty). \tag{11}$$

It turns out that $\text{cat}_r \leq \pi/2$, as it is proved in [13]. This is also a consequence that $r \mapsto \text{cat}_r(s)$ is increasing and that the supremum of the horocatenoid cat_∞ is $\pi/2$, see below.

Horonodoids and horocatenoids

Letting $r \to +\infty$ in expression (2), we obtain the H−horonodoids.

The H−horonodoids also may be seen as the H−surfaces obtained with rotations around a point at infinity. These H−surfaces are graphs of functions whose level sets are horocycles with the same point at infinity. To find them we solve $Q_H(u) = 0$, but in this case we assume that $u = u(s)$ depends on the distance s to a horocycle $C \in \mathbb{H}^2$. If C is the boundary of the horodisk

B, then in $\mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \overline{B}$, it holds $\Delta s = 1$ (notice that it is also a limit case: $\coth(r+s)$, the Laplacian of the distance of a circle of radius r, tends to 1 as r tends to ∞). Therefore in order to obtain a CMC H−surface, u must satisfy

$$\left(\frac{u'(s)}{\sqrt{1+u'^2(s)}}\right)' + \left(\frac{u'(s)}{\sqrt{1+u'^2(s)}}\right) + 2H = 0.$$

We solve this ODE for the initial condition $u'(0) = +\infty$, which means that the neck of the surface is the horocycle C, and we find that the function is (the same as obtained letting $r \to \infty$ in the definition of H-nod$_r$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{H-nod}_\infty(s) &= \int_0^s \frac{-2H + (1+2H)e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1-(-2H+(1+2H)e^{-t})^2}}dt \\ &= \begin{cases} \theta - \frac{4H}{\sqrt{1-4H^2}} \tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-2H}{1+2H}}\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right), & \text{if } H < 1/2, \\ \theta - \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right), & \text{if } H = 1/2, \\ \theta - \frac{4H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2H-1}{2H+1}}\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right), & \text{if } H > 1/2, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ is such that $\cos\theta = (1+2H)e^{-s} - 2H$.

Remark 2.1 *If we use the complex identity* $\tan(iz) = i\tanh(z)$ *we may summarize all expressions in* (12) *by*

$$H\text{-nod}_\infty(s) = \theta - \frac{4H}{\sqrt{1-4H^2}} \tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-2H}{1+2H}}\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right).$$

The same may be done for the maximum value of H-nod$_\infty$, *given in* (13).

The domain of H-nod$_\infty$ is the same as the H-nod$_r$ and the maximum height of H-nod$_\infty$ is attained at $x_H(\infty) = \ln(1+1/2H)$, its value is

$$\text{H-nod}_\infty\left(\ln\left(\tfrac{2H+1}{2H}\right)\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4H}{\sqrt{1-4H^2}} \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2H}{\sqrt{1-4H^2}}\right) & \text{if } H < 1/2, \\ \frac{\pi}{2} - 1, & \text{if } H = 1/2, \\ \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2H-1}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}}\right) & \text{if } H > 1/2. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

The H-horosurface of neck C is the surface obtained gluing the graph of H-nod$_\infty$ and the graph of $-$H-nod$_\infty$. It is clear that for any horocycle $C \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ and any $H \ge 0$, there is an associated H-horosurface of neck C. Besides, if C' is a horocycle equidistant from C, then the H-horosurface associated to C' is isometric to the one associated to C.

Finally, notice that the horocatenoid is a particular case of H−horonodoid: it is the 0−horonodoid. Therefore, it may be obtained either letting $r \to +\infty$ for cat$_r$ or taking $H = 0$ for H-nod$_\infty$. It has the explicit expression

$$\text{cat}_\infty(s) = \int_0^s \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2t}}}dt = \frac{\pi}{2} - \sin^{-1}(e^{-s}).$$

2.1 More about $H-$nodoids

Recall that H$-$nodoid of neck of radius r is obtained rotating the graph of

$$\text{H-nod}_r(s) = \int_0^s \frac{2H(\cosh(r) - \cosh(t+r)) + \sinh(r)}{\sqrt{\sinh^2(t+r) - (2H(\cosh(r) - \cosh(t+r)) + \sinh(r))^2}} dt.$$

The rotational surface may then be reflected about the plane $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ and glued with its reflection to build a CMC surface. It turns out that this surface, if $H > 0$, intersects itself on two circles, of radius r and $\rho_H(r)$, centered at a, what justifies the name nodoid. For $H > 1/2$, the domain of H-nod$_r$ is $[0, T_H]$ and after the value T_H, it is possible to continue this CMC rotational surface corresponding to H-nod$_r$, but it is not a vertical graph over $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ anymore.



Let us list some important facts about H-nod$_r$, that are used throughout this article.

Fact 1. For fixed H, and $s \in [0, T_H]$, the function that assigns for each $r > 0$ the value H-nod$_r(s)$ is strictly increasing.

Indeed, a computation gives

$$\frac{\partial \text{H-nod}_r(s)}{\partial r} > 0.$$

In particular:

a) if $0 < H \leq 1/2$, since $\lim_{s\to+\infty} \text{H-nod}_\infty(s) = -\infty$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty} \text{H-nod}_r(s) = -\infty$$

for every $r > 0$.

b) if $H > 1/2$, we have estimatives for $\text{H-nod}_r(T_H)$ from above and from below:

$$-\frac{4H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}}\right) \leq \text{H-nod}_r(T_H) \leq \pi\left(1 - \frac{2H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}}\right).$$

Moreover, fixed H, the maximum value of H-nod_r is bounded from above by $\max \text{H-nod}_\infty$, that is, for all $s \in [0, T_H]$,

$$\text{H-nod}_r(s) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4H}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2H-1}{\sqrt{4H^2-1}}\right).$$

Fact 2. The maximum value of H-nod_r is attained at

$$x_H(r) := \cosh^{-1}\left(\cosh r + \frac{\sinh r}{2H}\right) - r.$$

It is easy to check that $x_H(r)$ is increasing with r, and therefore

$$0 \leq x_H(r) \leq \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{2H}\right).$$

We also remark that for any $r > 0$, $x_0(r) = +\infty$, which is a natural conclusion: the maximum value of cat_r is attained at infinite distance, since it is strictly increasing and has horizontal asymptote.

Fact 3. Let $\rho_H(r)$ denote the positive zero of H-nod_r, that always exists for $H > 0$ and $r > 0$. The positivity of H-nod_r in $(0, \rho_H(r))$ is important to H-nod_r be an upper barrier. Although we do not have an explicit expression for $\rho_H(r)$, the next proposition provides an estimate for it from below, which provides hypothesis (4) on Theorem 1.1. Its proof is inspired in Proposition 1 of [15], that corresponds to an analogous result in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Proposition 2.2 *Given $r > 0$ and $H > 0$, we define $x_H(r) > 0$ as the positive solution of*

$$2H\cosh(x_H(r) + r) = 2H\cosh(r) + \sinh(r). \tag{14}$$

Then $\text{H-nod}_r(s) \geq 0$ for any $s \in [0, 2x_H(r)]$.

Proof. We first notice that $\text{H-nod}_r(0) = 0$ and $x_H(r)$ is the only absolute maximum of H-nod_r. Therefore, it suffices to prove that H-nod_r decreases in $[x_H(r), 2x_H(r)]$ slower than it increases in $[0, x_H(r)]$. For that, we verify the inequality

$$|\text{H-nod}_r'(x_H(r) + t)| \leq |\text{H-nod}_r'(x_H(r) - t)| \text{ for } t \in (0, x_H(r)).$$

To simplify notation, let us denote $x_H(r) + r$ by x. Notice that $\text{H-nod}_r'(s) = \frac{g(r+s)}{\sqrt{1-g(r+s)^2}}$, where

$$g(t) = \frac{2H\cosh r + \sinh r - 2H\cosh t}{\sinh t}.$$

It implies that we must prove that

$$-\frac{g(x+t)}{\sqrt{1-g(x+t)^2}} \leq \frac{g(x-t)}{\sqrt{1-g(x-t)^2}},$$

which is equivalent to $-g(x+t) \leq g(x-t)$.The definition of $x_H(r)$ implies that the previous inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{-\cosh(x)+\cosh(x+t)}{\sinh(x+t)} \leq \frac{\cosh(x)-\cosh(x-t)}{\sinh(x-t)},$$

and a straightforward computation gives that it is equivalent to $\sinh(x)(\cosh(t)-1) \geq 0$, which is of course valid for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

Fact 4. For fixed r, the function $H \mapsto \text{H-nod}_r(s)$ is decreasing (with "decreasing domain" as well). More precisely, if $\text{H}_1\text{-nod}(s)$ and $\text{H}_2\text{-nod}(s)$ are defined for $H_1 < H_2$, then $\text{H}_1\text{-nod}(s) > \text{H}_2\text{-nod}(s)$.

3 Proofs of the existence results

The setting of our existence results is the following: Let Ω be the domain enclosed by α and β, two simple closed curves in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ such that α is contained in the interior of the region bounded by β. Let $r > 0$ such that α satisfies the interior circle condition of radius r, i.e., for any $p \in \alpha$, there is a circle of radius r tangent to α at p and contained in the region bounded by α. Let $R > 0$ be such that β satisfies the exterior circle condition of radius R, that is, for any $p \in \beta$, there is a circle of radius R tangent to β at p that contains the region bounded by β. Finally, let d denote the distance between α and β.

To prove the existence results we use Perron's Method, see Section 2.8 of [9]. Recall that a function $v \in C^0(\Omega)$ is called a subsolution (resp. w is a supersolution) of $Q_H = 0$ if for any $U \subset\subset \Omega$ and for any $u : U \to \mathbb{R}$ solution of $Q_H = 0$ in U with $v|_{\partial U} \leq u|_{\partial U}$ (resp. $w|_{\partial U} \geq u|_{\partial U}$), it holds that $v \leq u$ (resp. $w \geq u$) in U. If $v \in C^2(\Omega)$, then $Q_H(v) \geq 0$ in Ω is equivalent to v being a subsolution. Analogously for supersolutions with $Q_H \leq 0$. The Comparison Principle holds for Q_H: if v, w are respectively sub and supersolutions and $v \leq w$ on $\partial\Omega$, then $v \leq w$ in Ω.

To simplify notation, from now on we denote by φ the function $\varphi : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\varphi(p) = 0$ if $p \in \beta$ and $\varphi(p) = h$ for $p \in \alpha$. Hence Problem (1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} Q_H(u) = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) + 2H = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Consider now the set

$$S = \{z \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0\left(\overline{\Omega}\right) \mid z \text{ is a subsolution of } Q_H = 0, z|_{\partial\Omega} \leq \varphi\}.$$

The set S is not empty since the constant function $v := \min \varphi = \min\{0, h\}$ belongs to it. The following lemma proves that any element in S is bounded from above.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ be the domain bounded by $\alpha \cup \beta$, as above, and $H \geq 0$ a given constant. If $H > \frac{1}{2}$, suppose additionally that*

$$R \leq T_H \text{ and } h \leq H\text{-cap}(T_H - d) - H\text{-cap}(T_H).$$

Then Problem (1) admits a global supersolution, namely, there is a function $w : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $Q_H(w) \leq 0$ in Ω and $w|_\alpha \geq h$ and $w|_\beta \geq 0$.

Proof.

The idea of this proof is to find a spherical cap that wraps $\alpha \cup \beta$, which is possible for $h \leq \text{H-cap}(T_H - d) - \text{H-cap}(T_H)$, if $H > 1/2$, and for any h, if $H \leq 1/2$.

For $0 \leq H \leq \frac{1}{2}$, consider $L > R$ great enough such that

$$2\cosh\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) \geq 2\cosh\left(\frac{L-d}{2}\right) + h. \tag{16}$$

Let $B_a = B_a(L)$ be a disk of radius L and center a containing Ω and such that $C_a = \partial B_a$ is tangent to β. Let s be the distance to a in $\mathbb{H}^2$ and define $w : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$w(q) = (1/2)\text{-cap}(s(q)) - (1/2)\text{-cap}(L). \tag{17}$$

Since $\Omega \subset B_a$, $s \leq L$ in β. Then, if $q \in \beta$,

$$w(q) = (1/2)\text{-cap}(s(q)) - (1/2)\text{-cap}(L) \geq 0, \tag{18}$$

because (1/2)-cap is a decreasing function. Therefore, $w_{|\beta} \geq 0$. We claim that $w_{|\alpha} \geq h$. Indeed, given $q \in \alpha$ we have $s(q) \leq L - d$ since the disk of center a and radius $L - d$ contains α, hence

$$w(q) = (1/2)\text{-cap}(s_a(q)) - (1/2)\text{-cap}(L) \geq (1/2)\text{-cap}(L-d) - (1/2)\text{-cap}(L) \geq h. \tag{19}$$

By the previous section, $Q_H(w) = -2(\frac{1}{2}) + 2H \leq 0$ in Ω and from the Comparison Principle, $z \leq w$ for all z solution of (1).

In the case $H > \frac{1}{2}$, consider $C_a = C_a(T_H)$, a circle of radius T_H, with a and s as above. Define $w : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$w(q) = \text{H-cap}(s(q)) - \text{H-cap}(T_H) \tag{20}$$

so that, by Section 2, the graph of w is part of a spherical cap with CMC H which implies $Q_H(w) = 0$. Proceeding as above for T_H replacing L, and using (6), we conclude that $w|_{\partial\Omega} \geq \varphi$. Therefore, w is an upper bound for S. ■

It follows that the function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$u(x) := \sup\{z(x) \,|\, z \in S\}$$

is well defined. Standard arguments on Perron's Method also give that $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and that $Q_H(u) = 0$ in Ω.

For proving that u satisfies the boundary conditions $u_{|\beta} = 0$ and $u_{|\alpha} = h$, we construct barriers at any point of $\partial\Omega$. Lower and upper barriers at $p \in \partial\Omega$ are respectively sub and supersolutions $v, w \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $v(p) = w(p) = \varphi(p)$ and $v|_{\partial\Omega} \leq \varphi$, $w|_{\partial\Omega} \geq \varphi$. After that, the uniqueness of the solution follows from the Comparison Principle.

Since the cases $h > 0$ and $h < 0$ are quite different, we now split the proofs, as we have done with the statements.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Barriers at points of β. Recall that $h \geq 0$, and therefore α is above β. It follows immediately that the constant function $v \equiv 0$ is a lower barrier at any point of β. Furthermore, the supersolutions given by Lemma 3.1 are upper barriers for a point $p \in \beta$, if we choose the exterior circle tangent to β at p.

Barriers at points of α. For $p \in \alpha$, using the interior circle condition of radius r in α, we may consider a circle C_a of radius r and center $a = a(p)$, tangent to α in p and contained in the bounded region whose boundary is α. Let $s : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the distance to C_a.

The subsolution at p is either a catenoid of neck r or a truncated cone of neck C_a. The fact that one of them is below β is a consequence of hypothesis (5), as explained below.

If $h \leq \mathrm{cat}_r(d)$, let $v_p : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by $v_p(q) = -\mathrm{cat}_r(s(q)) + h$, $q \in \overline{\Omega}$. Using the previous section we have $Q_H(v_p) = 2H \geq 0$. Notice that if $q \in \beta$, then $s(q) \geq d$, which implies that $-\mathrm{cat}_r(s(q)) \leq -\mathrm{cat}_r(d)$ and therefore

$$v_p(q) = -\mathrm{cat}_r(s(q)) + h \leq -\mathrm{cat}_r(d) + h \leq 0.$$

Hence $(v_p)_{|\beta} \leq 0$. If $q \in \alpha$, since C_a is contained in the bounded region of boundary α, we have $-\mathrm{cat}_r(s(q)) \leq 0$. Hence

$$v_p(q) = -\mathrm{cat}_r(s(q)) + h \leq h,$$

and we obtain $(v_p)_{|\alpha} \leq h$. Since $p \in C_a$ we have $s(p) = 0$ and $v_p(p) = h = \varphi(p)$.

If $h > \mathrm{cat}_r(d)$, we take as a barrier a truncated cone of inner radius r containing C_a and such that at height 0, its intersection with the slice $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ is inside Ω. Let $v_p : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$v_p(q) = -\frac{h}{d}(s(q)) + h,$$

which satisfies

$$Q_H(v_p) = \frac{-h/d}{\sqrt{1 + (h/d)^2}} \coth(s + r) + 2H.$$

Therefore, it is a subsolution in Ω if and only if

$$\frac{h}{\sqrt{d^2 + h^2}} \leq \frac{2H}{\coth r},$$

that is a consequence of (5). It is clear that $v_p(p) = 0$ and $v_p \leq \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$.

To finish, the supersolution at $p \in \alpha$ is the H−nodoid of neck C_a. Indeed, consider the function $w_p : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $w_p(q) = \text{H-nod}_r(s(q)) + h$ with r and a as defined before. By Section 2, we have that $Q_H(w_p) = 0$. Let $q \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $q' \in \beta$ the intersection of β and the geodesic that starts at q and passes through a. Notice that

$$d(q, q') = d(a, q) + d(a, q') = s(q) + s(q') + 2r \geq s(q) + d + 2r.$$

Using hypothesis (4),

$$s(q) \leq \mathrm{diam}\beta - d - 2r \leq 2x_H(r).$$

By Proposition 2.2, it follows that $\text{н-nod}_r(s(q)) \geq 0$ and therefore $(w_p)_{|\overline{\Omega}} \geq h$.

Moreover,

$$w_p(p) = \text{н-nod}_r(0) + h = h = \varphi(p).$$

We conclude by noticing that since α is between C_a and β, it follows immediately that $\text{н-nod}_r(s(q)) \geq 0$ for $q \in \alpha$, what implies that $w_p \geq h$ on α. This completes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Recall that in this case $h \leq 0$, hence α is below β.

Barriers at points of β. Since β is above α, the supersolutions given by Lemma 3.1 are upper barriers for a point $p \in \beta$, if we choose the exterior circle tangent to β at p.

To find the subsolutions associated to points in β, consider the upside down problem for $H = 0$. It consists in finding the solution $z \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ of $Q_0 = 0$ that takes boundary value $-\varphi$. By hypothesis $-h \leq \text{н-nod}_r(d)$ holds, and Fact 4 (Section 2.1) implies that $\text{н-nod}_r(d) \leq \text{cat}_r(d)$, therefore Theorem 1.1 applies for boundary data $-\varphi$. Hence $v = -z$ has minimal graph and works as a barrier from below at all points of β.

Barriers at points of α. Since α is below β and constant functions are subsolutions, $v \equiv -h$ is a lower barrier for all points of α. For the supersolutions, given $p \in \alpha$, consider C_a the circle of radius r internally tangent to α at p. Then take $w(q) = \text{н-nod}_r(s(q)) + h$ the nodoid of inner radius r, depending on the distance s to C_a. We claim that $w \geq \varphi$ on β. To see that, observe that for any $q \in \beta, d \leq s(q) \leq \text{diam}\beta - (2r + d)$ and since $s \mapsto \text{н-nod}_r(s)$ is a concave function, it attains its minimum value on the boundary. Therefore, for any $q \in \beta$, $w(q) \geq \min\{w(d), w(\text{diam}\beta - (2r + d))\} \geq 0$ from (7). We conclude that $w \geq \varphi$ on β and that $w \geq h$ on α, so that it is an upper barrier. ■

We briefly remark some aspects of the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1:

1. The first inequality (4) states that if β is too far from α the Theorem does not apply. This agrees with the fact that if Ω contains a large annulus, then it only admits solution for footnotesize h. (see [20]).

2. Since $2(r + d) < \text{diam}\beta$, inequality (4) also implies that

$$\cosh(r + d/2) \leq \cosh(r) + \frac{\sinh(r)}{2H}$$

   which can be seen as an upper bound for d.

3. For $H \leq 1/2$, one of the existence hypothesis is (5). It is vacuous for $\coth(r) \leq 2H$, what happens because any truncated cone of inner radius r, top inside $\alpha \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{h\}$ and outer radius $r + d$ inside $\beta \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{h\}$ has mean curvature footnotesizeer than $2H$. Besides, if $\coth(r) > 2H$, condition (5) is equivalent to $h \leq \text{cat}_r(d)$ for footnotesize d and $h \leq \frac{2Hd}{\sqrt{\coth^2(r) - 4H^2}}$ for large d. The change of behavior occurs at d_0 the only solution of

$$\text{cat}_r(d) = \frac{2Hd}{\sqrt{\coth^2(r) - 4H^2}}.$$

It is natural to expect that for footnotesize values of d, the catenoid gives a higher subsolution because it has initial vertical slope. On the other hand, for large d, since the catenoid is asymptotic to plane, the cone is a higher subsolution.

4 Proofs of the non existence results

In this section, we will prove the non existence result about a constant mean curvature surface contained in a slab of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ using a condition involving the distance between the slices that bound the slab and the given constant H. The proof was inspired by Proposition 3.2 of [15]. Other similar developments concerning this problem were recently proposed by [3] to the case $H = 0$ and by [20] to the case $H \in (0, 1/2)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Let M be as in Theorem 1.3 and let B be an horodisk such that $M \subset B \times \mathbb{R}$, and denote by $C = \partial B$. For each $t > 0$, let $C_t \subset B$ be the horocycle at distance t of C. Consider $\mathcal{S}_t$ the vertical translation by $h_H/2$ upwards of the H-horosurface of neck C_t.

It follows immediately from the fact that $\{\mathcal{S}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ foliates $B \times [0, h_H]$ that there exists $T > 0$ such that M and $\mathcal{C}_T$ have a tangency point. If we assume that $h > h_H$, this point cannot be on ∂M and therefore it must occur an interior tangency point, which implies, by the Tangency Principle, that M coincides with $\mathcal{C}_T$, an absurdity. Hence $h \leq h_H$, concluding the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

For $r > 0$, let $\mathcal{H}_r$ be the surface obtained rotating the graph of H-nod$_r(s)$ in restricted to $[0, x_H(r)]$ glued with its reflection around the plane $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$, i. e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \{(p, \pm \text{H-nod}_r(s(p))) \mid 0 \leq s(p) \leq x_H(r)\},$$

for $s(p)$ the distance between p and ∂B_r. For $r \in (0, r^*)$, a member of the family $\mathcal{H}_r$ must have an interior contact point with M if $h > 2 \max \text{H-nod}_{r^*}$. ■

References

[1] Aiolfi, A. ; Fusieger, P. - *Some Existence results about Radial Graphs with Boundary in Parallel Planes*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry **34** (2008) pp.415-430.

[2] Aiolfi, A. ; Fusieger, P. e Ripoll, J. - *A Note on Doubly Connected Surfaces of Constant Mean Curvature with Prescribed Boundary*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, **29** (2006) pp. 145-156.

[3] Barbosa, A.- *Compact Minimal Vertical Graphs with non-connected boundary in* $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, **153** Volume 58, Number 3 (2014), 593-617.

[4] Citti, G. ; Senni, C. - *Constant Mean Curvature Graphs on Exterior domains of the Hyperbolic plane*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, October 2012, Volume 272, pp 531-550.

[5] Dajczer, M. ; Ripoll, J. - *An Extension of a Theorem of Serrin to Graphs in Warped Products*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, **15** (2005) No2, pp. 193-205.

[6] Elbert, M.; Nelli, B. e Sa Earp, R. - *Exsitence of Vertical Ends of Mean Curvature* $\frac{1}{2}$ *in* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Trans. Amer. Mat. Soc. **364** (2012) pp. 1179-1191.

[7] Espírito Santo, N. ; Ripoll, J. - *Some Existence and Nonexistence Theorems for Compact Graphs of Constant Mean Curvature with Boundary in Parallel Planes*, J. Geom. Analysis, **11** (2001) No4, pp. 601-617.

[8] Fusieger, P. ; Ripoll J. - *Radial Graphs of Constant Mean Curvature and Doubly Connected Minimal Surfaces with Prescribed Boundary*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, **23** (2003) pp. 373-400.

[9] Gilbarg, D. and Trudinger, N.S. - *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[10] Hauswirth, L. - *Minimal surfaces of Riemann type in three-dimensional product manifolds*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics (224)(2006),no.1, 91–117.

[11] Hauswirth, L. ; Rosenberg, H. e Spruck, J. - *Infinite Boundary Value Problems For Constant Mean Curvature Graphs in* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, American Journal of Mathematics, **131**, (2009) No 1, pp. 195-226

[12] Meeks III. W, R. ; White, B. - *Minimal surfaces bounded by a pair of convex planar curves*, Comment. Math. Helv. **66** (1991) pp. 263-278.

[13] Nelli, B. ; Sa Earp, R. ; Santos, W. e Toubiana, E. - *Uniqueness of H - Surfaces in* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $|H| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, *with Boundary One or Two Parallel Horizontal Circles*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, **233** (2008) No4 pp. 307-321.

[14] Riemann, B. - *Ouevres Mathematiques de Riemann*, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1898.

[15] Ripoll, J. -*Some characterization, uniqueness and existence results for Euclidean graphs of constant mean curvature with planar boundary*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Berkeley. Vol. 198, no. 1 (2001), p. 175-196.

[16] Ros, A. ; Rosenberg, H. - *Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces in a Half-Space of* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with boundary in the boundary of the Half Space*, Journal of Differential Geometry, **44** (1996) No4, pp. 807-817.

[17] Sa Earp, R. - *Parabolic and Hiperbolic Screw Motion Surfaces in* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Journal of the Australian Math. Society, **85** (2008) pp. 113-143.

[18] Sa Earp, R. ; Toubiana, E. - *An Asymptotic Theorem for Minimal Surfaces and Existence Results for Minimal Graphs in* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Mathematische Annalen **342**, (2008) pp.309-331.

[19] Sa Earp, R. ; Toubiana, E. - *Screw Motion Surfaces in* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *e* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Illinois Jour. of Math. **49** No4 (2005) pp. 1323-1362.

[20] Senni, C. - *Non existence of constant mean curvature graphs on circular annuli of* $\mathbb{H}^2$, (2011), arXiv:1011.6583.

[21] Shiffman, M. - *On surfaces of stationary area bounded by two circles, or convex curves, in parallel planes*, Ann. of Math. **63** No2 (1956) pp.77-90.

Rodrigo B. Soares
Univ. Federal do Rio Grande
Inst. de Matemática, Estatística e Física
Av. Itália, Km 8
96201-900 Rio Grande-RS
BRASIL
rodrigosoares@furg.br

Patrcia K. Klaser
Univ. Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Instituto de Matemática
Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500
91509-500 Porto Alegre-RS
BRASIL
patricia.klaser@ufrgs.br

Miriam Telichevesky
Univ. Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Instituto de Matemática
Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500
91509-500 Porto Alegre-RS
BRASIL
miriam.telichevesky@ufrgs.br